

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/647,980	08/26/2003	Karl-Heinz Pitsch	WELLA-204	3076	
	7590 06/11/200 & JAWORSKI, LLP	EXAMINER			
666 FIFTH AV	E		DANNEMAN, PAUL		
NEW YORK,	NY 10103-3198		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3627		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/11/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/647,980	PITSCH, KARL-HEINZ	
Examiner	Art Unit	
PAUL DANNEMAN	3627	

	PAUL DANNEMAN	3627						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 01 June 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. Signification and the results of the control								
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.					
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07().							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set fort in (a) above, if checket. A vry reply received by the Office later than three months after the malling date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any sermed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp								
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowed). 	sideration and/or search (see NO		cause					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rejection	ected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21 See attached Notice of Non-Co	mnliant Amandment (DTOL-324)					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		inpliant Americanient (102-324).					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	xplanation of					
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-8,10-13,15-20,22-27,29-34,36-39,4</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	<u>1-46 and 48-51</u> .							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a					
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
/F. Ryan Zeender/								
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3627								

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the 35 USC § 112, First Paragraph rejection of Claims 1, 8, 12, 12, 19, 27, 34, 37 and 45 for lack of enablement in the Specification should be withdrawn as the phrase 'on demand remote inventory management of the vending device' ia a "mere rephrasing of a passage," and "does not constitute new matter" per MPEP § 2163.07. Respectfully, the Examiner must disagree as the phrase above changes the scope of the invention and the paragraphs cited by the applicant (7 and 27 of the specification) do not support the change in scope, see MPEP 2163.05.

Applicant has not amended the claims, therefore they will not be entered.